

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/520,964 | LENNARTZ ET AL. |
| | Examiner Fiona T. Powers | Art Unit 1626 |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) Fiona T. Powers.

(3) _____.

(2) Shiela Loggins.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted Ms. Loggins to inform her that the application would be in condition for allowance if claim 9 was amended by deleting "auziliaries" and inserting -auxiliaries-. Ms. Loggins gave me permission to make the amendment to claim 9.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)